The core problem: can semi-analytic models handle X-rays

Frazer Pearce





Keeping it real

- SA models use p(r) and T(r) to calculate cooling rate
- Cooling must be balanced by heating (feedback) otherwise far too much gas cools

The cooling is directly observable !



Derived quantities

- Cooling gas forms $M_{\Theta}(t)$
- Can get same M_Θ(t) from very different physics
- Can convert M_☉(t) into L but this is not easy (IMF, dust, ...)

...tertiary statistics even more dodgy



The X-ray LT relation















Where is gas going?



Observational data



Data: Vikhlinen etal 2006 (red), Gastaldello etal 2006 (yellow)

University of Durham - where are all those baryons?

"Ejected" gas



Halo mass

Radial gas density



Methodology

- SA plan is to add lots of physical processes, each with own parameters
 - Sensible to get basic physics right first
- Which observational dataset to use ?
 - Directly observable quantities close to basic assumptions

What needs to be done?

- No published SA model fits LT relation
- Re-appraise fundamental SA assumptions about ρ(r) and T(r)
- Fit LT relation as a priority (also true for simulations)
- Assess what this does to other SA parameters

Observational compilation



The core problem: can semi-analytic models handle X-rays

Frazer Pearce

