AGN Feedback in Galaxy Groups:
A Combined X-ray/Low-Frequency Radio View

Ewan O'Sullivan
(University of Birmingham/SAO)

With thanks to: Simona Giacintucci,
Larry David, Jan Vrtilek, Somak Raychaudhury,

Overview

- Background
  - Galaxy Clusters and Galaxy Groups
  - Why do we need Feedback?
  - What forms could Feedback take?
- The GMRT Groups Project
- Results from two groups
  - NGC 5044
  - AWM 4
- Future Plans

Galaxy Groups & Clusters - Constituents

Hot Gas ≈ 14%

Stars ≈ 3%



The Bullet Cluster (1E 0657-56) Markevitch et al. 2006

Chandra X-ray

Optical

Weak lensing shear

Dark Matter ≈ 83% of mass

In clusters, the dominant baryonic component is 10^7 K gas.

Groups – A Diverse Class

Variation from low-mass, spiral-only, X-ray faint groups (e.g., local group) to massive, X-ray bright mini-clusters.



AWM4
Dominant gE + many smaller galaxies



HCG 15
multiple E & S0s



Stephan's Quintet (HCG 92)
Spiral-rich
(O'Sullivan et al. 2009)

Groups & Clusters – Temperature Structure

- X-ray bright groups and clusters are usually classified as cool-core or non-cool-core.
- In clusters there are is a roughly even split between CC & NCC.
- Few NCC groups are observed but we do not know if this is real or a sign of bias.
- New class – Coronae – cool cores only a few kpc across, smaller than the central galaxy. Properties (L_X,T_X) indicate the cool gas is material lost from stars, not cooled cluster gas (M. Sun et al.).



Why feedback is necessary - cooling flows

Fabian & Nulsen 1977





Peterson & Fabian 2006

- Relaxed clusters expected to have central cooling flows.
- XMM/Chandra show little gas cooler than $kT_{max}/3$.
- What suppresses cooling?

Why feedback is necessary - overcooling



Croton et al. 2004

Cosmological simulations without feedback produce too many stars and too many high-mass galaxies.

Why feedback is necessary – similarity breaking

- Simplest models of clusters and groups are self-similar – everything scales simply with mass.
- Observations show similarity breaking.
- Cooler (less massive) systems have flatter emissivity and gas density profiles.



Abundance gradients

- XMM study of 28 nearby groups (2dXGS) find that non-cool-core groups have flat abundance profiles.
- CC groups have steep profiles, probably from SNae in central galaxy.
- CC and abundance peaks probably destroyed by same process



Johnson et al., MNRAS submitted

Potential sources of heating

1. Mergers
   - Large energy release, few 10^{63} erg. ✓
   - Only a few systems merging at any time. ✗
   - Do not break similarity. ✗
2. Supernovae
   - Inject ~1 keV/particle, OK for similarity breaking. ✓
   - Little star formation in group/cluster cores. ✗
   - More use in galaxies / small groups. ✓
3. Conduction
   - Could stabilise NCC. ✓
   - Requires >Spitzer conductivity to heat cool cores. ✗
   - Magnetic suppression a serious problem. ✗
4. AGN
   - AGN common in CC systems. ✓
   - Feedback model ties heating to cooling. ✓
   - Heating occurs where most needed. ✓

Sources of heating: AGN feedback



Radio galaxies in centers of 70-100% of CC clusters
(Blanton et al. 2010)

Chandra/VLA 1.4 GHz (Kirkpatrick et al. 2009)

Ghost cavities

Perseus cluster - Fabian et al. 2002



1.4 GHz on smoothed X-ray

74 MHz on smoothed X-ray

No radio emission (at >1 GHz) corresponding to cavity ➔ "Ghost".
Lobes rise buoyantly, so those at larger radii in clusters are older.

AGN feedback: shocks

- Shocks seen in some clusters, can deliver as much power as jets.
- May be driven by jets, or “explosively” from the AGN itself.
- Usually require Chandra to detect – narrow features, need spectra to confirm.



M87 / Chandra X-ray / Forman et al. 2007

Summary so far...

- **Why do we need feedback**
  - To suppress cooling in CC groups and clusters.
  - To suppress star formation at high redshift.
  - To explain similarity breaking.
  - To destroy abundance peaks in NCC systems?
- **What form does feedback take?**
  - AGN, fuelled by cooling gas, creating shocks and cavities.
  - Star-formation, mergers, conduction can help in some cases but are probably not the dominant process.

The GMRT Groups project

No statistical sample of nearby groups currently available!

Our sample – 18 groups with Chandra/XMM X-ray data and GMRT low-frequency radio observations, covering a wide range of group and radio galaxy properties.

X-ray provides –
1) Location/properties of most baryons.
2) Estimation of energy in cavities, shocks, conduction & cooling rates.
3) Dynamical limits of age of structures.
4) Information on gas motions.

Radio provides –
1) Timescales via Synchrotron aging.
2) Constraints on source geometry.
3) Direct view of AGN/gas interactions.

Why look at groups rather than clusters?



Eke et al. (2005) Log Stellar Mass 10, 11, 12 ≈ Log Total Mass 12, 13.6, 14.7

1. Only 2% of stars are found in clusters (log $L_B/L_\odot$ > 12)
   - Half of all stars in systems with log $L_B/L_\odot$ = 10-11 -- galaxies & small groups
   - Massive groups (log $L_B/L_\odot$ ≈ 11) most typical environment of AGN feedback
2. Groups are locus of much galaxy evolution, so impact of feedback important
3. Lower mass and temperature mean feedback needed on short timescales and has potential to affect IGM more easily than in clusters.

Why low-frequency radio?

- As radio plasma ages, high-frequency declines fastest ➔ older structures easier to see at lower frequencies.
- Spectral index measured at high frequency steep, broader spectrum gives better estimate of total power.
- Break frequency allows age to be estimated.



NGC 507 (Murgia et al. in prep.)

GMRT sensitivity (for 2-3hr obs.):

rms ≈ 50-100 μJy/b @ 610 MHz

rms ≈ 300-500 μJy/b @ 235 MHz

Resolution: 5” at 610 MHz to 12” at 235 MHz (HPBW)

GMRT groups – project goals

1. How are X-ray and radio structures correlated?

   Do radio lobes always form cavities? How do AGN drive gas mixing?

2. What are the properties of group central radio galaxies?

   e.g., outburst timescale & energy, duty cycle, particle content of lobes, etc. Can AGN alone balance cooling in groups?

3. How are the effects of AGN related to their lifecycle and environment?

4. What are the mechanisms of energy injection?

   How is the jet energy distributed isotropically? How is heating spread over the activity cycle?

5. Is feedback in groups and clusters similar?

   Similarity breaking suggests groups have different history - is this related to feedback?

GMRT Groups sample

GROUP	z	Chandra	XMM	150 MHz	235 MHz	327 MHz	610MHz	Papers?
UGC 408	0.0147	✓		✔	✓		✓	CfA in prep…
NGC 315	0.0165	✓	✓		✓		✓	
NGC 383	0.0170	✓	✓		✓		✓	
NGC 507	0.0165	✓	✓		✓		✓	
NGC 741	0.0185	✓	✓		✓		✓	Jetha 08 +…
HCG 15	0.0208		✓		✓	✓	✓	
NGC 1407	0.0059	✓	✓		✓	✔	✓	
NGC 1587	0.0123	✓			✓		✓	
MKW 2	0.0368		✓		✓		✓	
NGC 3411	0.0153	✓	✓		✓		✓	O'S 07
NGC 4636	0.0031	✓	✓		✓		✓	O'S 05 + Baldi 09
HCG 62	0.0137	✓	✓		✓	✔	✓	Gitti (ApJ sub.)
NGC 5044	0.0090	✓	✓	✔	✓	✓	✓	David 09 +…
NGC 5813	0.0066	✓	✓	✔	✓			CfA in prep…
NGC 5846	0.0057	✓	✓				✓	
AWM4	0.0318	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	SG 08+2xO'S 10
NGC 6269	0.0348	✓			✓		✓	Baldi 09
NGC 7626	0.0114	✓	✓	✔	✓		✓	Randall 09

GREEN = images/fluxes/spectra available RED = approved or unprocessed

Groups - diverse radio morphologies



NGC 7626 - classic giant radio galaxy
610 MHz, res: 6”x5”
Major axis: 150 kpc



NGC 3411 - amorphous
610 MHz, res: 10”x7”
Major axis: 75 kpc



NGC 6269 - compact double-lobed source
610 MHz, res: 5”x4”
Major axis: 30 kpc

NGC 5044 – *Chandra* X-ray

- One of the brightest nearby galaxy groups (~10^{43} erg/s)
- Prior observations reveal some structure in X-ray, radio point source
- X-ray image shows numerous cavities, filaments, fronts.
- Cavities are small but spread throughout the core, not just along main axis.
- At 1.4 GHz, only a central point source is detected.

David et al. 2009, ApJ, 705, 624



80ks 0.3-2 keV Chandra unsharp image, D_{25} ellipse overlaid

NGC 5044 – GMRT radio

At 610 Mhz:
Radio structure is extended – rising torus drawing out X-ray filament?

David et al. 2009, ApJ, 705, 624



0.3-2 keV Chandra unsharp image, D_{25} ellipse overlaid
GMRT 610 MHz contours

NGC 5044 – GMRT radio

At 235 MHz:

1. Detached radio lobe to the SE.
2. Filament following X-ray channel
3. Correlation between X-ray surface brightness front, filament and detached lobe.

We are seeing structures formed in two separate outbursts, and their interaction with the environment.

David et al. 2009, ApJ, 705, 624



0.3-2 keV Chandra unsharp image, D_{25} ellipse overlaid
GMRT 610 MHz contours 235 MHz contours

NGC 5044 – X-ray spectral maps



- Temperature drawn out to SE, following detached lobe → gas motion.
- High abundance features (2-3 solar!), low abundances regions correlate with cavities, radio structure →multiphase gas.
- Many small outbursts, cavities spread isotropically in core by gas motions.

NGC 5044: Take-home points

- Low-frequency radio observations allow us to see evidence of multiple episodes of AGN jet activity
  ➔ direct measurement of the duty cycle.
  - Not unique, we see multiple episodes in other groups In our sample.
  - BUT in NGC 5044 we need deeper radio to measure the age and estimate the duty cycle.
- Many small cavities seen throughout the core
  ➔ mechanism for isotropic heating by jets & cavities.
  - Cavities probably moved by "weather", gas motions caused by movement of galaxy in group, effects of the AGN itself.
  - Gas motions lift cool gas out of group core, reducing its cooling rate.
  - Group core contains multiphase gas, implications for abundance measurements and pressure balance, mass measurements, etc.

AWM4: XMM-Newton data (O'Sullivan et al. 2005)

- ~2.6 keV poor cluster, relaxed morphology centred on BCG, no X-ray AGN
- No obvious substructure in galaxy population
- Fairly powerful central radio source 4C+24.36 (608 mJy @1.4 GHz)





Surprises:

• Isothermal – no cool core despite radio galaxy

• Lobes too small to heat system - ~9x10^{58} erg required

AWM4: GMRT observations (Giacintucci et al. 2008)

- GMRT data to determine true extent of radio source, alignment, properties.
- 120min @ 610 MHz, 100@ 327 MHz, 160@ 235 MHz
- Large lobes detected
- 1.4 GHz obs only trace jets out to knots/bends
- Small scale jets <10° from plane of sky



AWM4: radio source properties

- Model spectral index change along jets with Jaffe & Perola (1974) model
- Both jets give similar results
- Age ~170 Myr – very old (few 10s Myr typical)
- Pressure in lobes ~2.5x10^{-12} erg cm^{-3} -- factor ~15 lower than X-ray pressure, but this is common
- If lobes are empty, their enthalpy (4PV) might be enough to counter cooling, but no cavities detected by XMM.



Giacintucci et al. 2008

AWM4: unresolved questions

1. What fuels the AGN?
   - No cool core detected.
   - No indications of merger or cold gas in BCG.
2. Can the AGN balance cooling? How does it heat the gas?
   - Cavities associated with the lobes would be sufficient, but none detected by XMM.
   - No shocks detected – but XMM probably would not resolve them.
3. Why is the AGN so old?

AWM4: Chandra imaging

- ~80 ks exposure
- No shocks or fronts
- No clear cavities
- Slight offset of BCG to south of halo centroid – in motion as radio suggests?



AWM4: Cavities?





1-3 keV unsharp masked image



0.7-3 keV smoothed residual map

- >3σ significant drop in surface X-ray brightness in E lobe, but smaller than the lobe – cavity?
- Broader, less significant western feature, weak filaments along jets?

AWM4: Cavity Filling Factors

- We would expect to detect empty cavities for both lobes at 4-5σ significance ➔ somehow the cavities are "filled in".

Possibilities:

1. Expected Inverse-Compton flux from radio lobes a factor 10^{-4} too low.
2. Gas entrained and heated in the jets would be too hot (20+ keV) and low density.
3. Mixing of the lobes with surrounding thermal plasma. Lobes probably breaking up into clouds and filaments.



GMRT 610 MHz image (c/o Giacintucci)

Assuming lobes are mix of thermal and relativistic plasmas, the filling factors of radio-emitting component are:
Φ = 0.21 / 0.24 for east/west lobes
(3σ upper limits Φ<0.43 / 0.76)

AWM4: looking for a cool core



Raw Chandra images, 4.9 GHz VLA contours

- Small extended core source in soft bands (<3 keV), coincident with radio core.
- 3-5 keV counts consistent with LMXBs ➔ AGN highly absorbed.
- Probable galactic corona – cool core made up of gas from the galaxy halo.

AWM4: the Corona

- 2-3 kpc radius, correlated with jet flare point
- ~1 keV compared to 2.6 keV ICM
- L_X~2x10^{40} erg/s
- t_{cool}=300 Myr, M_{cool}=0.067 Msol/yr
  - enough to fuel AGN given 0.1% efficiency
- Stellar mass losses in corona sufficient to replace gas lost through cooling.
- Spitzer conduction would heat in <20 Myr
- Jet would heat if interaction >0.4% efficient

  → Magnetically isolated from AGN & ICM

  → Breaks feedback cycle – the AGN does not reheat the gas which fuels is, so outburst is not self-limiting.

AWM4: Take-home points

- The cavities in AWM4 are much weaker than expected.
  ➔ The lobes are breaking up and mixing with the ICM.
  - Outburst in AWM4 is unusually old, and we only see the lobes because we have low-frequency radio data. Do all lobes break up this way?
  - Low filling factors mean less energy available to heat the ICM, but break up could lead to heating via plasma mixing, gas motions.
- AWM4 hosts a corona of cool galactic gas, which can fuel the AGN indefinitely and is not heated by conduction or the jets.
  ➔ This breaks the AGN feedback loop.
  - May explain why outburst is so old, as feedback cannot stop it.
  - How did the corona form in the first place?
- Even with small cavities, AGN power output balances cooling.

Future Plans

GMRT Groups Project:

- Radio (Giacintucci et al.) and X-ray (O'Sullivan et al.) sample papers later this year.
- GMRT coverage extended to 150 MHz for some sources, providing possibility of detecting even older emission.

CLoGS: The Complete Local-Universe Groups Survey

- Statistically complete, optically selected sample of 53 nearby groups, excluding uncollapsed and false systems.
- First half proposed for *Chandra* in March – if successful this will be the first statistical X-ray sample of nearby groups.